getwab

ARCHIVE SAMPLE / SFPR-COMP-EL-1

ELEMENTARY REPORT



November 20, 2026

SFPR-COMP-EL-1

STANDARDIZED FEDERAL PROCUREMENT REPORT
FEDERAL AWARD COMPETITION AND SINGLE-OFFER RATES

Agency 3600 / NAICS 541512 (FY 2025-2026)

Prepared by: GETWAB INC.
Website: https://www.getwab.com
For inquiries regarding this report: ilia.oborin@getwab.com

Disclosure Statement

This report uses publicly available data from the Federal Procurement Data System (FPDS). It is intended for informational and analytical purposes.

At a glance

214 base award actions. One offer: 66 of 114 with usable counts (57.89%). Offer-count coverage: 53.27%.

Among 28 competed base actions with no recorded parent, 6 of 28 with usable counts reported one offer (21.43%).

Offer counts: 100 missing and 0 outside 1-999. Competition code: 0 blank and 0 unrecognized.

Latest matched signed date: 2026-09-30. A single offer does not establish sole source or noncompliance.

Reported competition categories

Code	Extent competed	Base actions	Usable counts	One offer	Rate
A	Full and open	122	37	13	35.14%
C	Not competed	41	28	28	100.00%
F	Competed under simplified procedures	18	18	4	22.22%
D	Full and open with excluded sources	14	12	2	16.67%
G	Not competed under simplified procedures	14	14	14	100.00%
B	Unavailable for competition	5	5	5	100.00%

Single offer by award context

Context	Base actions	Usable counts	One offer	Rate
Vehicle reference reported	140	40	14	35.00%
No vehicle reference reported	74	74	52	70.27%

Single offer by fiscal year

Period	Base actions	Usable counts	One offer	Rate
FY 2025	74	45	28	62.22%
FY 2026	140	69	38	55.07%

Methodology

Scope and counting unit

FPDS Award records signed in FY 2025 through FY 2026, inclusive, through 2026-11-20 UTC. Federal fiscal years run October through September; an open fiscal year is partial. Closed years may still receive revisions.

Count each reported base award action (all-zero modification code) once per dataset row, not each solicitation or unique contract. Updates with nonzero or blank modification codes, IDVs and Other Transactions are excluded.

Awarding agency: 3600; principal NAICS: 541512; PSC: Any. Supplied codes match exactly and combine. Agency is the contracting agency, not the funder.

Categories, denominators and missing values

Competition categories use the reported FPDS Extent Competed code. A blank code and an unrecognized nonblank code are separate rows when present. An action with a vehicle reference is not interpreted as a fresh competitive acquisition from its extent code.

Single-offer rate = base actions reporting exactly one offer divided by base actions reporting 1-999 offers in the same row. Nulls and values outside 1-999 are excluded and counted separately. A zero denominator has no rate.

The narrower comparison uses only codes A, D or F without a recorded vehicle agency or PIID. Its denominator is only the actions in that subgroup with a usable offer count. This is not a government-wide competition or compliance score.

Reproduce the results

Queried 2026-11-20 03:54 +0000. The ZIP includes the executed FPDS SQL and all 11 aggregate rows. The total, fiscal-year, extent and vehicle tables regroup the same cohort; do not add the tables to each other. Rerun in FPDS Query; results can change with updates.

Sources and verification

GETWAB FPDS Query

FPDS data dictionary: competition and offers (10A, 10D)

FPDS validation rules: offer-count range (10D01)

Conclusions

Analyst commentary / static archive sample

What the data shows

A single offer was recorded on 66 of 114 base actions with usable offer counts. Another 100 of 214 base actions had no usable count, so the 57.89% describes the observed subset, not every action in scope.

How to use the result

The comparable stand-alone competed group shows 6 single offers out of 28 actions. The difference is a reason to stratify by competition category, parent vehicle and reporting coverage, not evidence of a procedural problem. For a specific award, inspect the solicitation and competition fields together.

About this example

This fixed public sample uses an actual GETWAB report snapshot dated 2026-11-20. The commentary was written for this example without a runtime model. Reusable SQL and supporting tables are provided with an ordered report, not with this preview.

GETWAB Federal Market Library

GETWAB

CONTRIBUTIONS & VERIFICATION

Report design and methodology: GETWAB INC.

Editorial contribution: review and interpretation of the archived findings.

Runtime model contribution to this static example: none.

Archived data, report scope and methodology are identified in the preceding pages.

REPORT AUTHOR

GETWAB INC. / Archive sample

Signature omitted from this public example.